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Survey Results

Q2 Which tool do you currently use more?

Answered: 44  Skipped: 1

- Spaceclaim
- Design Modeler
- I Use Both Equally
Survey Results

Q3 What level of experience do you have using Spaceclaim?

Answered: 43  Skipped: 2

- Never used it
- Beginner
- Intermediate
- Advanced
Survey Results

Q4 What level of experience do you have using Design Modeler?

Answered: 42  Skipped: 3

- Never used it
- Beginner
- Intermediate
- Advanced
Survey Results

Q5 When importing to a model, do you primarily use shells or solids?

Answered: 42   Skipped: 3

- Shells
- Solids
- I Use Both Equally
Survey Results

Q6 When importing to a model, how many parts does your model typically have?

Answered: 41   Skipped: 4

- 1-5 parts
- 6-50 parts
- 50+ parts
Q7 How many geometry iterations do you typically go through for a project?

Answered: 43  Skipped: 2

- 1-5 iterations
- 5-20 iterations
- 20+ iterations
Survey Discussion

• Are these results surprising?
  – ~75% of the community is using DM in some capacity
  – 2% of respondents feel they are “advanced” at Spaceclaim; 22% feel “advanced” in DM
  – Normal distribution of people using shells/solids
  – 5% of users do 20+ iterations on a typical project
Background on Spaceclaim

• Founded in 2005 by an engineering group including Mike Payne (founder of Solidworks)
• First version of Spaceclaim released in 2007
• Used for ANSYS direct modeling since 2009
• Bought for 85 million dollars in 2014 by ANSYS
• Renamed “ANSYS SpaceClaim Direct Modeler” for ANSYS modeling applications
• Sold to other OEM packages, as well as direct to end user
Why Use Spaceclaim?

• Embedded within ANSYS
  – Can form multi-body parts

• Not intended to replace active CAD software (Inventor, Solidworks, PRO/E) for pure drafting

• Alternative to DesignModeler for modifying geometry coming from other CAD packages
Pros/ Cons of Design Modeler

Pros

• Tree structure can make going back and making simple model changes easy
• Can form multi-body parts to connect bodies together without using contact
• Allows multiple connection types (joints, automatic) between surfaces

Cons

• Hard to learn GUI
  – Legacy causes strange organization
• “Clunky” and slow interface with having to generate after each operation
• Tree structure can become invalidated
• Future “development” is at risk
Pros

- Direct editor—don’t have to worry about invalidating design tree
- Easy to learn GUI—only need 3 basic commands to make 90% of geometries
- Undo, Cut & Paste, intelligent interface design for great user experience

Cons

- Connectivity / Show contact tool is buggy
- Low tolerance (1e-8 like CAD) – can create problems with slivers/connectivity
- Can’t set dimensions for part relationships – non-parametric tool.
- Still in “development”, creating/solving bugs
1. Changing the number of holes on a geometry from 5 to 4
2. Projecting 8 washers onto geometry
3. Mid-surface 5 parts in an assembly
4. Connect 5 parts together via weld surfaces
5. Adding a second .step file to the existing geometry
6. Fixing a partially corrupted model
Showdown #1

• **The Problem:** A multi-part engine system has been imported and prepped for Mechanical; however, the design has now changed. Which program can change the number of holes in the front face from 5 to 4 in 10 seconds?
Showdown #1 (Spaceclaim)
Showdown #1 (Design Modeler)
And the Winner is....

• Spaceclaim!
• Why: Making direct modeling changes to a geometry is Spaceclaim’s bread-and-butter! Going back and making changes to models in Design Modeler either adds more functions onto the tree, or can invalidate the model.
Showdown #2

• **The Problem:** A wheel hub has four washers on each side to connect it to the axle. Which program can project the faces of the washers onto the wheel hub body in 30 seconds?
Showdown #2 (Spaceclaim)
Showdown #2 (Design Modeler)
And the Winner is....

- **Spaceclaim!**
- **Why:** Spaceclaim allows you to select multiple surfaces (not edges) to project, as well as multiple surfaces to project to.
• **The Problem:** A trailer model is made up of solid bodies and surfaces representing welds. Which program can mid-surface five of the solid body (green) parts in 31 seconds?
Showdown #3 (Spaceclaim)
Showdown #3 (Design Modeler)
And the Winner is....

- **Spaceclaim!**
- **Why:** Spaceclaim needs less clicks in order to select/midplane the necessary geometry
Showdown #4

• **The Problem:** A trailer model is made up of mid-surfaced bodies and surfaces representing welds. Which program can connect the mid-surfaces together at the welded (red) locations in under 4 minutes?
Showdown #4 (Spaceclaim)
Showdown #4 (Spaceclaim--Continued)

Hours? Days? Weeks?
Showdown #4 (Design Modeler)
And the Winner is....

- Design Modeler!
- Why:
  - If everything works correctly within Spaceclaim, the welding/connection process in Spaceclaim is significantly faster than in Design Modeler.
  - The “Show Contact” tool is buggy, and can cause an extreme amount of excess work to determine where the problem is coming from.
Showdown #5

- **The Problem:** An assembly consists of a container and a cap, which should be fixed together per normal use conditions. Which program can move the two bodies together in 47 seconds?
Showdown #5 (Spaceclaim)
Showdown #5 (Design Modeler)
And the winner is....

- **Design Modeler!**

- **Why:** Spaceclaim only allows you to move/rotate about 1 axis at a time; whereas Design Modeler lets you setup axis for each object, and then match those axis together in a single move operation.
Showdown #6

• **The Problem:** An IGES solid body geometry is imported, but has corruption errors (slivers, missing faces, duplicate faces) in the geometry. Which program can fix these errors and convert the part back to a solid body in 42 seconds?
Showdown #6 (Spaceclaim)
Showdown #6 (Design Modeler)
And the Winner is....

- Design Modeler...in this case!
- **Why:** For corrupted geometry, it really depends on the tool. Sometimes Design Modeler has faster repair options...other times Spaceclaim is faster.
Showdown Discussion

• Does your experience match?
  – Are there lessons learned to share with the group?

• What would you have done differently?
  – Can you think of any faster/easier way to modify the geometry?

• What situations would you still continue to use DM? When would you use Spaceclaim?
Closing Comments

• Which tool is better?
  – Depends on the problem
  – Spaceclaim and DM are different tools for your toolbox

• Using a tool requires invested learning
  – Hard to prioritize learning Spaceclaim over getting a project completed on time
  – Learning a tool also means figuring out what it's not good at, which can be time consuming
Let Epsilon FEA Help!

• Flatten the learning curve with Epsilon’s Help
  – We will work 1-on-1 with you
  – Use on actual job / your next geometry prep.
  – Invest 20 hours into support, and save 60 hours fighting the tool!
  – Avoid project delays & risk of the unknown